	_
1	GEOFF HANSEN
2	Acting Federal Public Defender SHAWN HALBERT
3	Assistant Federal Public Defender 450 Golden Gate Avenue
	San Francisco, CA 94102
4	Telephone: (415) 436-7700
5	Counsel for Defendant Javier Omar Valle Hernandez
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	N. CD 12 00101 FMC
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No. CR 12-00191 EMC (CERTIFICATION AND INDODOCEDIA
12) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] Plaintiff,) ORDER CONTINUING
13	v.) APPEARANCE FOR CHANGE OF PLEA AND SENTENCING FROM
14	JAVIER OMAR VALLE HERNANDEZ,) MAY 16, 2012 TO MAY 30, 2012 AND EXCLUDING TIME UNDER 18 U.S.C.
15) § 3161 Defendant.
16	
17	
18	STIPULATION
19	The parties are scheduled to appear before this Court for change of plea and sentencing
20	pursuant to a fast-track agreement on May 16, 2012. Defense counsel has become unavailable at that
21	time and date and requests that the matter be continued to the Court's calendar on May 30, 2012 at
22	2:30 p.m. In light of defense counsel's unavailability, the government has no objection to this
23	continuance.
24	The parties also agree that the time between May 16, 2012 and May 30, 2012 should be
25	excluded under the Speedy Trial Act; the continuance is necessary for continuity of defense counsel
26	and effective preparation of counsel, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (h)(7)(B)(iv), and the ends of
	STIP. & ORDER No. CR 12-00191 EMC - 1 -

justice served by granting such a continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the 1 2 defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). 3 SO STIPULATED: MELINDA HAAG 4 United States Attorney 5 6 DATED: May 14, 2012 J. MARK KANG 7 Special Assistant United States Attorney 8 9 DATED: May 14, 2012 SHAWN HALBERT 10 Assistant Federal Public Defender 11 12 [PROPOSED] ORDER 13 For the reasons stated above, the Court finds that the continuance of the next hearing before this 14 Court for change of plea and sentencing from May 16, 2012 to May 30, 2012 is warranted. Further, 15 16 the Court finds that an exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act between May 16, 2012 to May 17 30, 2012 is appropriate because the failure to grant the requested continuance would deny the defendant continuity of counsel and effective preparation of counsel; thus, the ends of justice served 18 by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 19 20 U.S.C. § 3161 (h)(7)(A), (h)(7)(B)(iv). SO ORDERED. 21 IT IS SO ORDERED 22 May 15, 2012 23 DATED: THE 24 United S Judge Edward M. Chen 25 26 STIP. & ORDER No. CR 12-00191 EMC